UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

November 2, 2006

TENTH CIRCUIT

Elisabeth A. Shumaker Clerk of Court

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

GABRIEL RODRIGUEZ-AGUIRRE,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 06-2117 (D.C. No. CR-92-486 JC) (District of New Mexico)

ORDER AND JUDGMENT*

Before KELLY, HENRY and TYMKOVICH, Circuit Judges.

After examining the briefs and appellate record, this panel has determined unanimously that oral argument would not materially assist the determination of this appeal. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2); 10th Cir. R. 34.1(G). The case is therefore ordered submitted without oral argument.

This is an appeal from an order of the district court denying the

^{*}This order and judgment is not binding precedent, except under the doctrines of law of the case, res judicata and collateral estoppel. The court generally disfavors the citation of orders and judgments; nevertheless, an order and judgment may be cited under the terms and conditions of 10th Cir. R. 36.3.

defendant's "Ex Parte Motion Requesting That Fine Payments Be Paid Direct To The Court." We affirm.

In the district court, the defendant requested that he be allowed to make his quarterly payments directly to the court because he is unable to work in prison because of medical restrictions. The district court denied the motion.

On appeal, the defendant challenges the imposition of a four million dollar fine as part of his sentence. Because he did not raise this issue before the district court, we will not address it. "Absent compelling reasons, [this court will] not consider arguments that were not presented to the district court." *Crow v. Shalala*, 40 F.3d 323, 324 (10th Cir. 1994). This rule applies in criminal as well as civil appeals. *See United States v. Eastteam*, 426 F.3d 1301, 1303 n.2 (10th Cir. 2005) ("Because this argument was not properly preserved, we will not address it on appeal.") (citing to *Crow*).

There is no reason present here to deviate from this general rule. The defendant had ample opportunity to challenge the imposition of the fine both in his direct appeal and in his § 2255 motion.

AFFIRMED. The government's motion to dismiss is DENIED.

Entered for the Court

Per Curiam